Journal of The Gujarat Research Society

ISSN: 0374-8588
Volume 22 Issue 1, January 2020

Genetically Modified Crops and Their Alternative Situations

Dr. Preeti Garg, Dr. Ashok Gupta, Dr. Abhishek Kumar
Shobhit Institute of Engineering and Technology (Deemed to be University), Meerut
Email Id-preeti.garg@shobhituniversity.ac.in, dr.ashok@shobhituniversity.ac.in,
abhishekkumar@shobhituniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT: Genetic manipulation of agricultural genes has contributed significantly to the improvement of crops by introducing or restoring native gene functioning amongst crop plants as helpful alien genes. For example, herbicide resilience, abiotic stress resilience, insect resistance, obstruction to infection and nutrition enhancement are at least one benefits of genetically modified crops. Until then, about 525 distinct transgenic possibilities for improvement were suggested in 32 plants in various areas of the globe. The spectrum of transgenic inventions seems to improve agricultural yields, reduce the consumption of chemicals and insect poisons, reduce waste dumping and reduce harvest costs. Anyway, transgenic crops with unfamiliar qualities are being far-reaching received because of concerns over expected toxicity and allergies to individuals, potential natural hazards, for example, quality odds, anatomical consequences for non-target life forms, the growth of weed opposition and bugs. These concerns have led to the selection of different elective advances. This article provides a thorough assessment of the status of the GM crops presently evolving. The author also discusses factors that impact the unrestricted adoption of genetically modified transgenic crops and comments on new tools and strategies to address these concerns.

KEYWORDS: Cotton, DNA, Genetically Modified, Hereditary, Plant Cell.

1. INTRODUCTION

Hereditary modification is a technique that usually causes genetic alterations in a range of living creatures. The World Health Organization describes 'living things (for instance plants, animals or microorganisms) whose hereditary information has been changed in such a manner that no mating and no unique recombination is usually conducted.' It aims to recognize that hereditary material is directly controlled via a millennial act of progress in the hereditary load of plants and creatures. With translational innovation in deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), features from one creature may be transmitted to a new, generally unrelated form of life.

Crops which have changed their genomes are known as GM crops that use hereditary design methods to enhance existing characteristics or to offer another feature that is not usually shown. The plants were placed using transgenic plants to introduce specific nucleic corrosive/quality arrangement parts into their DNA. The imbedded quality, also known as transgene, may originate from a random plant, microorganisms, virus, parasite or species of a creature. The expression of genetic change therefore overcomes an important constraint of the traditional reproduction of plants, which requires reproductive tolerance across species to transcend species borders. Ti plasmid DNA (T-DNA) was found by Agrobacterium tumefaciens in the 1977 sequence of plants and was suggested as a canal for introducing new characteristics into cell membranes[1].

The current research led to the progress identified with transgenic plants improvement. As a consequence, precise classification of quality was first addressed before recombinant DNA as well as a change method was applied to plant cells. The first transgenic plants such as anti-infective tobacco and petunia were created around the same time. Researchers discovered the transfer of a phaseolin gene from boar to sunflower and their study showed that the plant characteristic shifts to a systemically specialized species of angiosperm. In 1994, Calgene (Monsanto) transgenic tomato, 'Flavr Savr,' was authorized by the Food & Drug Administration

(FDA) for market sale in America because its usage lasted longer and maturity was delayed. Transgenic crops including modified oil canola, Bt potato, Bt cotton, glyphosate-resistant soybeans, and herbicide-safe bromoxynil were subsequently developed and approved for marketing. The popularized transgenic crops are mostly microbiological or perhaps inherited. To far, a total of 525 transgenic instances have been sold in 32 crops[2].

Genetically modified (GM) crops are plants whose genomes have been altered by genetic engineering to enhance existing characteristics or introduce new traits not found in the crop species. Transgenic plants are those that have had particular portions of foreign nucleic acid/gene sequence inserted into their genome through transformation. The inserted gene may be from a different plant, bacterium, virus, fungus, or animal species. With the advent of genetic transformation, traditional plant breeding no longer requires sexual compatibility between species to cross them.

The natural capacity of Agrobacterium tumefaciens to stably insert Ti plasmid DNA (T-DNA) into host plant cell genome was found in 1977. This research led to a breakthrough in transgenic plant development. Then, utilizing recombinant DNA and transformation, a particular gene sequence was reported to be transmitted to plant cells. In the same year, antibiotic-resistant tobacco and petunia were created. The expression of a plant gene in a taxonomically different angiosperm family was shown in this research. The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) authorized transgenic tomato ‘Flavr Savr' from Calgene (Monsanto) for sale in the USA in 1994.

Transgenic crops such as canola with changed oil composition, Bt potato, Bt maize, Bt cotton, bromoxynil herbicide-resistant cotton, and glyphosate-resistant soybeans were later commercialized. The majority of commercial transgenic crops use microbial genes or genetic components. 525 transgenic events in 32 crops have been marketed thus far. Cotton (61), potato (49), Argentine canola (42), soybean (41), carnation (19) and others have the most occurrences.

Transgenic crops have improved global agricultural output by 20% in the last two decades. A worldwide meta-analysis of transgenic crop adoption estimates that transgenic technology has improved agricultural yields by 22%, resulting in a 68% increase in farmer income. However, the likelihood of gene flow between transgenic crops and natural relatives, the lateral transmission of antibiotic resistance genes to microorganisms in the environment, and possible adverse health consequences such as toxicity and allergenicity to humans have remained concerns. Because of this, transgenic crops have not been widely adopted in many areas of the globe. To avoid worries about foreign gene insertion, two novel methods, cisgenesis and intragenesis, were devised. Both methods use genetic components from the same or nearly related species, i.e. from a sexually compatible gene pool[3].

Genome editing has made it possible to alter crop genomes with unprecedented simplicity, accuracy and precision. New editing techniques using SSNs such as Zinc Finger Nucleases (ZFNs), Transcription Activator-Like Effector Nucleases (TALENs), and the CRISPR/Cas system have addressed concerns about the unpredictability and inefficiency of conventional random mutagenesis. Many of the regulatory problems associated with transgenics may be addressed by these gene editing techniques via interventions like as targeted mutagenesis, precise editing of endogenous genes, and site-specific insertion of a trait gene.

1.1 Commercial Agriculture Difficulties:

Agricultural industry is estimated at $3.2 trillion worldwide and is an important component of the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and provides employment in emerging and developing countries as well. For example, agriculture makes up just 1.4% of GDP and 1.62% of the United States' workforce, compared to 18.6% of GDP and 50% of the workforce in South Asian nations. However, although the agricultural sector employs almost one in five persons or 19 per cent of its total population, it is anticipated that by 2050, as demonstrated in this research, the worldwide losses (population increase and regular asset weight) would be enormous[4].

1.2 Natural Resources Burden:

The Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) predicts that crop care will be subject to natural resource limitations. Although overall production in agriculture has been improved by unfair competition due to urbanisation, population growth, industrialisation and environmental change. Deforestation has caused 80% of deforestation worldwide for horticulture reasons. Agricultural development led to an annual shortage of 7 lakh tonnes, typical forests in ecuadorian and continental areas, where deforestation remains prevalent. Water for horticulture, in addition, accounted for 70% of total water drains, thereby depleting traditional water resources in a number of nations. This is especially apparent in low-precipitation regions such as the Middle East, North Africa, and Central Asia, where agriculture accounts for 80-90% of total water extraction. These patterns are projected to continue far into the 21st century, with global demand for natural resources rising.

1.3 Increasing Explosive Population:

According to the FAO, the world population will increase to 9.7 billion (BN) by 2050, about half what it was in 2013 and 11 billion (BN) by 2100. Current farming techniques alone will not feed the whole population of the globe and eradicate global hunger and malnutrition. Indeed, the FAO predicts that 653 million (mn) people still suffer from malnutrition by 2030, despite a substantial decrease in world hunger. Different study has also shown that the four main world crops are increasing at 1.0%, 1.6%, 0.9% and 1.3% per year respectively at 42%, 67%, 38% and 55% below the rate of growth necessary to support the global population in 2050. When coupled with additional issues like as improved health in the growing lower-working class and increasing land misfortune due to corruption and faster urbanization, a rapid growth of the total population would raise the demand for food supplies.

1.4 Enhanced Transgenic Crops Nutritionally:

1.4.1 Acid Fatty:

The transgenic method for metabolic engineering of oilseeds plants has been widely used to improve the nutritional characteristics of seed oil, such as to modify the internal unsaturated fat formation in order to make it free from trans-fats that bring medical benefits and to extend the duration of the use of oil. Low saturated fatty acids (PUFAs) and a larger percentage of polyunsaturated unsaturated fatty acids are considered advantageous for human consumption. Examples of such oils are fish oils, pecan oils and sunflower oils, fax seeds, soy and maize[5].

1.4.2 A-Pro-Vitamin Bio-Fortified Rice:

vitamin A deficiency (VAD) is an anticipated severe public health concern that in 2005 is expected to impact about 33 percent of pre-school children, including 15 percent of pregnant women worldwide. VAD in underdeveloped areas of the globe is most prevalent in children, such Sub-Saharan Africa (48%) and South Asia (44 percent). Beta carotene is the necessary precursor atom for nutritious synthesis and is usually not present in edible portions of basic food crops such as rice. In its endosperm, transgenic rice has been improved to fight nutritional

ISSN: 0374-8588
Volume 22 Issue 1, January 2020

shortage Vitamin A by creating a mechanism for carotene synthesis. This rice was known as 'Golden Rice,' because of its colours that seem to be golden. In the Japanese crop plant, two external genes, the psy gene generating phytoene syntherapy in dafodil and the crtI gene expressing carotene/phytoene air flows in the paddy endosperm have been provided. These are the carotenoid biosynthesis within the endosperm paddy.

1.4.3 Amino Acids:

Some amino acids cannot be manufactured by humans or animals; they must therefore be produced from diet. Tryptophan, lysine and methionine are very necessary for bio-fortification in essential amino acids since there is not much grain or vegetable in them. Over the last decade, a couple of transgenic methods have concentrated on the alteration of plant protein synthesis amino scathing to develop essential biochemical amino corrosive activities and increase the content of some basic amino acids in order to improve dietary activity. Transmission of lysine-rich barley leguminous protein into endosperm into transgenic rice and wheat has led to heterologous transmission[6].

1.5 GM Crop Generation:

For genetically modified foods to be made, scientists must first introduce the gene(s) in a certain plant cell for the desired characteristics and then restore a plant via tissue culture. The method to advance the quality of the item generally indicates where and when an enhanced quality is revealed. In general, there are three main methods to changing cell characteristics.

1.5.1 Transfer DNA Directly:

The most common method of providing exogenous DNA is via miniature molecule invasion. In the second part of the 1980s, Sanford created the system. Purely customized DNA is coated with golden or metal micro-particles and supplied with compressed helium at high speed in certain tissues such as seed as well as meristem tissues. Protoplasmic electroporation, flow cytometry, alteration of chloroplasts, semi-conductive fragments, nanoparticles of iron oxide and other techniques are all utilized to transport DNA to cell membranes. On the other hand, molecular bombs, even entire chromosomes, continue to be more successful in transmitting huge DNA pieces at once.

1.5.2 Use of Bacterial Vehicles Indirectly:

The use of Agrobacterium tumefaciens enabled foreign characteristics to be implanted into cell membranes in a new time. Agrobacterium tumefaciens, the microbe of the earth, harms plants by framing the crown with a neuron. The microorganisms modify the plant's DNA to enable a plant to increase its cells, while allowing the plant to produce altered amino acids as a single food source. The bacteria have a tumor-induced plasmid that enables them to gain quality inclusion. Experts are capturing the plasmid into another T-DNA plasmid section by including its "originator gene."

1.5.3 Genomic DNA Direct Editing:

The basis for "Short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)-Cas9 clustered regularly interspaced" was established in 2012. It develops a progressive tool for genome alteration and offers a novel way of modifying the characteristics of different cell types. This technique significantly increases the effectiveness of heredity design and makes it much simpler to deal with plants. Cas9 is a DNA polymerase originally found in bacteria where host bacteria are protected against DNA particulate attack. An unique guided RNA (gRNA) leads polymerase to attack/focus on DNA, which is essential for the cancellation of the assault sequence. Cas9 uses its two dynamic endpoints to break the enemy's two double-abandoned DNA strands. Two different tools repair the newly framed DNA within the cells: The semi-finished connection system can lead to a small termination or a small participation in arbitrary DNA, which reduces reliability or knockout, whereas the homologous (HR) component allow the contributing DNA to expand into the reliability of its intrinsic position at the break, thereby reducing the value or stopping.

1.5.4 Solutions GM Crops Delivered:

GM crops have been quite successful in regulating the aforementioned major agri-business problems while offering farmers across the globe different benefits. They generated $117.6 billion over 17 years in global homesteads alone from 1996-2013. In 2010-2012, the global total annual pay increased by 34.3 percent. In addition to a 22% increase in worldwide production, GM plants have decreased their pesticide use by 37% as well as their natural impact by 18%. More than 300 million acres of normal crops would be needed to reach a similar yield idea, which further exacerbated existing natural and financial problems for agri-business[7].

1.6 GM Cotton:

Cotton has established itself as a major fabric and manufactured products in India and now plays a major part in modern and rural economies in the nation. Nearly 8 million landowners, most of them small and medium-sized, rely on harvest to live on. The Bollgard I, India's first GM partial cotton breed with Cry1Ac-supply features of Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) was launched in 2002 by Monsanto-Mahyco. In the beginning, only 36% of farmers received additional income; however, after Bt-cotton has been authorised in 2004, that number has risen to 46% of the total in 2004. Monsanto's support was followed by Mahyco's supply of BollgardII, which enhanced acceptance of Bt-cotton by Indian cotton farmers.

1.7 Genetically Modified Organisms (GMOs) issues:

1.7.1 Genetic Interbreeding:

Introduced GMOs may crossbreed with fertile or sexually capable offspring. In wild animals, if the new feature provides the receiver a selective benefit, it may be lost. The capacity of wild species tolerance may nonetheless vary over time, influencing the ecological interaction and personalities of the native species.

1.7.2 Natural Species Antagonism:

More rapidly developing GMOs may have had a competitive edge over native species. This may encourage them to become more invasive, to spread into new environments and to inflict environmental and economic damage.

1.7.3 Follow-Up Invalidity:

It is hard to get rid of GMOs when they are introduced and issues arise. Many of those hazards are the same as those for genetically modified or conventionally manufactured animals. However, this does not imply that GMOs are benign or helpful or thus less vigilant.

1.7.4 Horizontal Transfer of Recombinant Genes to Other Microorganisms:

The potential of horizontal gene transfer (HGT) is certainly one of the worst risks associated with GMOs. In a number of environmental conditions HGT is the transfer of foreign genes to organisms. It happens more frequently in response to changing conditions and gives access to genes, especially the prokaryotes which are not inherited by species. GMO-introduced gene HGT may bestow a new feature on another creature that might cause potential damage to human or environmental health. For example, the transfer of antibiotic resistance genes to a disease may threaten human or animal therapy[8].

1.8 Adverse Health Effects On the Environment:

A rise in the burden of disease in the recipient species if the recipient organism is a pathogenic microbe or virus; an increase in crop or arthropod financial strain if the recipient organism is a weed or parasite; and negative consequences for plants, communities, or ecosystems if the recipient organism is a pathogenic microbe or virus

1.8.1 Effects That Are Unexpected and Unintended:

HGT has the potential to transfer genes from a genetically modified organism (GMO) to pests, bacteria, and a range of yet-to-be-identified species. In addition to altering the food supply or capabilities of the recipient species, this may result in unintended structural or functional changes to the organism. Moreover, it is possible that the gene transmitted may insert at several locations in the target gene, leading in undesired and unexpected consequences such as the addition of a new gene while simultaneously causing damage to an existing genotype[9].

1.8.2 Long-Term Consequences:

Depending on the conditions, the long-term effects of HGT may be more severe.... A receiver organism must develop over thousands of years to become the dominant species in a group, and this evolution is often accompanied by intense selection pressure. Other variables to consider include the timing of acceptable biotic or abiotic ecological conditions, as well as any future receiver organism changes, which may all contribute to the protracted duration of deleterious effects[10].

2. DISCUSSION

Different moral difficulties associated with HGT through genetic modification have been proposed, including perceived threats to the animals' reliability and innate estimate, the notion of normal demand as well species integrity, and the legitimacy of environments where the genetically modified living being is found to exist. In recent years, an increasing amount of data on genetically modified organisms (GMOs) has emerged, showing that they pose a variety of serious risks to human health and the environment. When hereditary specialists develop genetically modified organisms (GMOs) or changed plants, they lack the capacity to establish brilliance in a particular area. A random place in the genetic materials is selected for each characteristic, and the location of the quality is seldom recognized. Only a few instances of such negative consequences have been recorded in the United States as a result of endorsement at the time of writing this. It is possible that introducing genetically modified plants or harvest into the climate may have direct consequences, such as quality exchange with wild family members or conventional crops, non-target species features, and other unanticipated consequences.

A commonly held belief is that the value flow from genetically modified crops may be obtained through dust, broad variety crossing with neighboring crops, or wild cousins. Due to the fact that quality stream has been seen for millennia in both land races and conventionally produced crops, it is fair to expect that it will occur with genetically modified crops as well as conventionally grown crops. While the propensity of transgenic crops to outcross varies from area to region, the ability of transgenic crops to outcross is reliant on the presence of particularly viable wild relatives or crops, which changes from region to region. Nonetheless, a few pieces of data suggested that the existence of a link between transgenic crops and their natural cousins is significant in and of itself.

3. CONCLUSION

ISSN: 0374-8588
Volume 22 Issue 1, January 2020

Genetically modified crops (GM crops) have the potential to relieve a number of developing issues in the commercial agricultural sector. A recent study found that they will be one of the world's fastest growing and most disruptive marketplaces, benefitting not just farmers but also consumers and major national economies. For the agricultural sector and scientific community to effectively fight unethical experiments and misinformation, better science communication and regulation are required, among other things. It is also possible to mitigate imperfections and large genetically modified organisms (GMOs) through tighter supervision, government agriculture agencies overseeing and implementing it, an internationally strengthened risk control policy, and better coordination with farmers, which will result in a higher level of acceptance. In the long run, it is anticipated that genetically modified crops would increase corporate agriculture's production and development as a result of significant advancements in precision gene-integration technology, as well as their involvement in the improvement of bio-fortification and stress tolerance.

REFERENCES

[1] M. S. T. Abbas, "Genetically engineered (Modified) crops (bacillus thuringiensis crops) and the world controversy on their safety," *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*. 2018, doi: 10.1186/s41938-018-0051-2.

[2] M. Kamle, P. Kumar, J. K. Patra, and V. K. Bajpai, "Current perspectives on genetically modified crops and detection methods," *3 Biotech*. 2017, doi: 10.1007/s13205-017-0809-3.

[3] J. A. Anderson, J. Staley, M. Challender, and J. Heuton, "Safety of Pseudomonas chlororaphis as a gene source for genetically modified crops," *Transgenic Res.*, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s11248-018-0061-6.

[4] J. Andrew, N. W. Ismail, and M. Djama, "An overview of genetically modified crop governance, issues and challenges in Malaysia," *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*. 2018, doi: 10.1002/jsfa.8666.

[5] G. Catacora-Vargas, R. Binimelis, A. I. Myhr, and B. Wynne, "Socio-economic research on genetically modified crops: a study of the literature," *Agriculture and Human Values*. 2018, doi: 10.1007/s10460-017-9842-4.

[6] L. Tripathi, "Techniques for detecting genetically modified crops and products," *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 2005, doi: 10.4314/ajfand.v4i13.71830.

[7] M. Qaim and D. Zilberman, "Yield effects of genetically modified crops in developing countries," *Science (80-.).*, 2003, doi: 10.1126/science.1080609.

[8] W. Klümper and M. Qaim, "A meta-analysis of the impacts of genetically modified crops," *PLoS One*, 2014, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0111629.

[9] M. Qaim and S. Kouser, "Genetically Modified Crops and Food Security," *PLoS One*, 2013, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0064879.

[10] C. Y. Gong and T. Wang, "Proteomic evaluation of genetically modified crops: Current status and challenges," *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 2013, doi: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00041.