Volume 21 Issue 16, December 2019

I-GENERALIZED METRIC SPACES AND SOME FIXED POINT THEOREMS

Sunil Suman¹, Jayanta Biswas²

¹ P.G. Department of Mathematics, Magadh University, Bodhgaya, Bihar, India. Email- sumansunil97@gmail.com

² Barasat Government College, Barasat , West Bengal, India. Email – <u>jbiswas37@gmail.com</u>

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to establish the structure of I-generalized metric spaces as a concept of metric spaces, which is a kind of generalization of traditional generalized metric space structure. Some fixed point results for various contractive type mappings in the context of I-generalized metric spaces are presented. We also provide some definitions to illustrate the results presented herein.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25

Keywords: Fixed point, Cauchy sequence, contraction map, Housdorff space, sequentially convergence..

1. Introduction

The concept of metric spaces has been generalized in many directions. The notion of a metric space induces topological properties like open and closed sets which leads to the study of more abstract topological spaces.

In 2000, Branciari [4] introduced generalized metric spaces replacing triangular inequality by rectangular inequality and subsequently several fixed point results have been developed in this metric space.

A generalization of contraction mapping is in Banach contraction [4], uniformly locally contraction [5], Kannan contraction [8] mappings in generalized metric space.

Here we shall generalize these concepts and some more fixed point results [2] in I-generalized metric space.

2. Preliminaries

First we recall some notation and definitions that will be utilized in our subsequent discussion.

ISSN: 0374-8588 Volume 21 Issue 16, December2019

Definition (2.1) [I-uniqueness or I-equality] Let X be a non-empty set and $f: X \to X$ be an idempotent map. Two elements x and y in X are said to be I-unique with respect to f if f(x) = f(y); otherwise x and y are said to be I-distinct points in X.

Definition (2.2)[I-generalized metric space] Let X be a non-empty set, $f: X \to X$ be an idempotent map, i.e., $f^2 = f$. A map $d: X^2 \to [0, \infty)$ is said to be an I-generalized metric on X iff $I_I: \forall x, y \in X$, d(x, f(y)) = 0 iff f(x) = f(y) and d(f(x), y) = 0 iff f(x) = f(y). I2: d(x, f(y)) = d(y, f(x)) and $(f(x), y) = d(f(y), x), \forall x, y \in X$. I3: for all $x, y \in X$ and for all I-distinct points $u, v \in X$ each of them I-distinct from x and y, $(x, y) \leq d(f(x), u) + d(f(u), v) + d(v, f(y))$.

The order triple (X, d, f) is called an I-metric space. Elements of X are said to be points in X.

Example (2.3): (i) Every I-metric space is clearly a I-g.m.s.

(ii) Every generalized metric space (X, d) is clearly a I-g.m.s. with respect to the identity map on X.

Definition (2.4) [I-open sphere] Let (X, d, f) be an I-metric space and $x \in X$ and r be a positive real number. Then the set $S_f(x, r) = \{y \in X \mid d(x, f(y)) < r\}$ is called the I-open sphere or I-open ball, with centre x and radius r in X.

Definition (2.5) [Convergence of a sequence] A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in an I-metric space (X, d, f) is said to I-converge to a point x of X, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n \in S_f(x, \varepsilon)$, $\forall n \geq m$. In this case x is called I-limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$.

A sequence which is not I-convergent in an I-metric space (X, d, f), is called a non-I-convergent or an I-divergent sequence.

Definition (2.6) [Cauchy sequence] A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in an I-metric space (X, d, f) is said to be an I-cauchy sequence in X if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists n_o \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(f(x_m), x_n) < \varepsilon$, $\forall m, n \geq n_o$, i.e., $d(f(x_{n+p}), x_n) < \varepsilon$, $\forall n \geq n_o$, $\forall p \geq 1$.

Definition (2.7) [Complete I-metric space] An I-metric space (X, d, f) is said to be I-complete if every I-cauchy sequence in X I-converges to some point of X; otherwise (X, d, f) is called I-incomplete.

Definition (2.8) [I-fixed point] Let X be a non-empty set and $f: X \to X$ is an idempotent map. A map $h: X \to X$ is said to have an I-fixed point $x \in X$ if (fh)(x) = f(x).

ISSN: 0374-8588 Volume 21 Issue 16, December2019

.....

Definition (2.9) [I-continuity] Let (X, d_1, f) , (Y, d_2, g) be two I-generalized metric spaces. Then a function $h: (X, d_1, f) \to (Y, d_2, g)$ is said to be I-continuous at a point $a \in X$, if corresponding to every $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists \ \delta > 0$ such that $d_1(f(x), a) < \delta \implies d_2((gh)(x), h(a)) < \varepsilon$. h is said to be I-continuous on X, if it is I-continuous at every point of X.

Definition (2.10) [I-injective mapping] Let (X, d_1, f) , (Y, d_2, g) be two I-generalized metric spaces. A mapping $h: X \to Y$ is said to be I-injective if for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$, $h(x_1) = h(x_2) \Longrightarrow f(x_1) = f(x_2)$

Definition (2.11) [I-Housdorff space] An I-generalized metric space (X, d, f) is said to be I-Housdorff, if for any two I-distinct points x, y in X, there exists a positive real number r such that $S_f(x,r) \cap S_f(y,r) = \Phi$.

Theorem (2.12) Let (X, d, f) be an I-generalized metric space. Then

- (i) d(x,x) = 0, $\forall x \in X$, i.e., $\forall x, y \in X$, $x = y \implies d(x,y) = 0$.
- (ii) $d(x, f(y)) = d(f(x), y) = d(f(x), f(y)) = d(f(y), f(x)) \ge d(x, y), d(y, x), \forall x, y \in X.$
- (iii) $d(x, f(x)) = 0, \forall x \in X$.

Proof: Trivial.

3. Main Results

Theorem (3.1) [Banach contraction principle] Let (X, d, f) be an I-g.m.s. $c \in (0, 1)$ and $h: X \to X$ be a map such that for each $x, y \in X$, $d(fh)(x), h(y) \le c d(x, y)$ with $y \ne f(x)$, $x \ne f(y)$, then

- (i) there exists a point $a \in X$ such that for each $x \in X$, the sequence $h^n(x)$ I-converges to a.
- (ii) (fh)(a) = f(a) and for each $b \in X$, $(fh)(b) = f(b) \Rightarrow f(a) = f(b)$, i.e., h has an I-unique I-fixed point.

Proof: (i) Let $x \in X$ and consider the sequence $\{h^n(x)\}$. If x is a periodic point for h, then $h^k(x) = x$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and then $d(x, (fh)(x)) = d(h^k(x), (fh^{k+1})(x)) \le c d(h^{k-1}(x), h^k(x))$ $\le c d(h^{k-1}(x), (fh^k)(x)) \le c^2 d(h^{k-2}(x), h^{k-1}(x)) \le c^2 d(h^{k-2}(x), (fh^{k-1})(x))$ $\le \cdots \ldots \le c^k d(x, h(x)) \le c^k d(x, (fh)(x)) \Rightarrow d(x, (fh)(x)) = 0$ (since 0 < c < 1). $\Rightarrow (fh)(x) = f(x) \Rightarrow x$ is an I-fixed point of h.

Let $h^{n}(x) \neq h^{m}(x), \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \neq n$. Now $\forall y \in X$, $d(y, (fh^{4})(y)) \leq d(f(y), h(y)) + d((fh)(y), h^{2}(y)) + d(h^{2}(y), (fh^{4})(y))$ $= d(y, (fh)(y)) + d(h(y), (fh^{2})(y)) + d(h^{2}(y), (fh^{4})(y))$



Volume 21 Issue 16, December 2019

$$\leq d(y,(fh)(y)) + c d(y,(fh)(y)) + c^{2} d(y,(fh^{2})(y))$$

$$= (1+c)d(y,(fh)(y)) + c^{2} d(y,(fh^{2})(y)) = \sum_{i=0}^{2k-3} c^{i} d(y,(fh)(y)) + c^{2k-2} d(y,(fh^{2})(y)), \text{ for } k=2.$$
Let for any ≥ 2 , $d(y,(fh^{2k})(y)) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{2k-3} c^{i} d(y,(fh)(y)) + c^{2k-2} d(y,(fh^{2})(y)), \forall y \in Y$

Let for any
$$\geq 2$$
, $d(y, (fh^{2k})(y)) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{2k-3} c^i d(y, (fh)(y)) + c^{2k-2} d(y, (fh^2)(y))$, $\forall y \in X$.

Now
$$\forall y \in X$$
, $d(y, (fh^{2k+2})(y)) \le d(f(y), h(y)) + d((fh)(y), h^2(y)) + d(h^2(y), (fh^{2k+2})(y))$

$$= d(y, (fh)(y)) + d(h(y), (fh^2)(y)) + d(h^2(y), (fh^{2k+2})(y))$$

$$\leq d(y,(fh)(y)) + c d(y,h(y)) + c^2 d(y,h^{2k}(y))$$

$$\leq d(y,(fh)(y)) + c d(y,(fh)(y)) + c^{2} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{2k-3} c^{i} d(y,(fh)(y)) + c^{2k-2} d(y,(fh^{2})(y)) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{2k-1} c^i d(y, (fh)(y)) + c^{2k} d(y, (fh^2)(y))$$

Therefore by mathematical induction, we have

$$\forall y \in X, d(y, h^{2k}(y)) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{2k-3} c^i d(y, (fh)(y)) + c^{2k-2} d(y, (fh^2)(y)), \ \forall k \geq 2 \qquad (1)$$

Similarly, by mathematical induction, we shall get

$$d(y,(fh^{2k+1})(y)) \le \sum_{i=0}^{2k} c^i d(y,(fh)(y)), \forall y \in X, \forall k \ge 0$$
(2)

From (1) and (2) we get

$$d(h^{n}(x), (fh^{n+2k})(x)) \le c^{n}d(x, h^{2k}(x)) \le c^{n}d(x, (fh^{2k})(x))$$

$$\leq c^n \sum_{i=0}^{2k-2} c^i \max\{d(x,(fh)(x)), d(x,(fh^2)(x))\}$$

$$\leq \frac{c^n}{1-c} \max \{d(x, (fh)(x)), \ d(x, (fh^2)(x))\}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall k \geq 2$$
(3)

and
$$d(h^n(x), (fh^{n+2k+1})(x)) \le c^n d(x, (fh^{2k+1})(x))$$

$$\leq c^n \sum_{i=0}^{2k} c^i \max \{d(x, (fh)(x)), d(x, (fh^2)(x))\}$$

$$\leq \frac{c^n}{1-c} \max \{d(x, (fh)(x)), \ d(x, (fh^2)(x))\}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall k \geq 0$$

$$\tag{4}$$

From (3) and (4), we get

$$d\left(h^n(x), (fh^{n+m})(x)\right) \le \frac{c^n}{1-c} \max\left\{d\left(x, (fh)(x)\right), \ d\left(x, (fh^2)(x)\right)\right\}, \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$$
 (5)

This shows that $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(h^n(x), (fh^{n+m})(x)) = 0$ so that $\{h^n(x)\}$ is an I-cauchy sequence in X.

But X is I-complete. Therefore there exists a point $a \in X$ such that $\{h^n(x)\}$ I-converges to a.

Now $d((fh^{n+1})(x), h(a)) \le cd((fh^n)(a), a) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ so that $d((fh^{n+1})(x), h(a)) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.



ISSN: 0374-8588 Volume 21 Issue 16, December2019

.....

Therefore $\{h^n(x)\}$ I-converges to h(a) also.

Therefore (fh)(a) = f(a) so that a is an I-fixed point of h.

Now let $b \in X$ be such that (fh)(b) = f(b). Then $d(a,b) \le d(f(a),f(b)) = d((fh)(a),(fh)(b)) = d(h(a),(fh)(b)) \le c d(a,b)$ $\Rightarrow d(a,b) = 0$ (since 0 < c < 1) $\Rightarrow f(a) = f(b)$

Definition (3.2) [T-orbitally I-completeness] Let (X, d, f) be an I-g.m.s. and $T: X \to X$. (X, d, f) is said to be *T*-orbitally I-complete iff every I-cauchy sequence which is contained in $\{x, T(x), T^2(x), \dots \}$ for some $x \in X$, I-convergence in X.

Definition (3.3) [ε -chainable] An I-g.m.s. (X, d, f) is said to be ε -chainable if for any two points $a, b \in X$, there exists a finite set of points $a = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n = b$ such that $d(f(x_{i-1}), x_i) \le \varepsilon$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, where $\varepsilon > 0$.

Definition (3.4) A mapping : $X \to X$, where (X, d, f) is an I-g.m.s., is called (i) Locally contractive if for every $x \in X$, $\exists \ \varepsilon_x > 0$ and $\lambda_x \in [0, 1)$ such that $\forall p, q \in \{y \in X \mid d(x, y) \le \varepsilon_x\}$, the relation $d(T(p), T(q)) \le \lambda_x d(p, q)$ holds. (ii) Locally I-contractive if for every $x \in X$, $\exists \ \varepsilon_x > 0$ and $\lambda_x \in [0, 1)$ such that $\forall p, q \in \{y \in X \mid d(x, y) \le \varepsilon_x\}$, the relation $d((fT)(p), T(q)) \le \lambda_x d(p, q)$ holds.

Definition (3.5) Let (X, d, f) be an I-g.m.s.. Then $T: X \to X$ is called (ε, λ) uniformly locally I-contractive if it is locally I-contractive at all points $x \in X$ and ε , λ do not depend on x, *i.e.*, $d(f(x), y) < \varepsilon \Longrightarrow d((fT)(x), T(y)) < \lambda d(x, y), \forall x, y \in X$, where $\varepsilon > 0$, $\lambda \in [0, 1)$.

Note (3.6) From definition (3.5) it is clear that a uniformly locally I-contractive map is I-continuous.

Theorem (3.7) If $T: X \to X$ is an (ε, λ) uniformly locally I-contractive mapping defined on a T-orbitally I-complete, $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ - chainable I-g.m.s. (X,d,f) such that Tf = fT and satisfying the following condition (A) for all $x,y,z \in X$, $d(f(x),y) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and $d(f(y),z) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Longrightarrow d(f(x),z) < \varepsilon$, then T has an I-unique I-fixed point in X.

Proof: Let $\in X$. Since (X,d,f) is $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ - chainable and, $T(x) \in X$, there exists finite number of points $x=x_0$, x_1 , ..., $x_n=T(x)$ such that $d(f(x_{i-1}),x_i)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, for $i=1,2,\ldots,n$ (1) Without loss of generality let x_1 , x_2 , ..., x_{n-1} are I-distinct; and if n>2, then assume that x_0 , x_1 , x_2 , ..., x_n are I-distinct.

game within term before

Gujarut Research Society

ISSN: 0374-8588

Volume 21 Issue 16, December 2019

For n = 1, from (1) we have $d(f(x), T(x)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$

For n = 2, from (1) and (A) we have $d(f(x), T(x)) < \frac{2\varepsilon}{2}$

Let n > 2 and n = 2m + 1 $(m \ge 1)$ be odd.

Now
$$d(f(x), T(x)) \le d(f(x), x_1) + d(f(x_1), x_2) + \dots + d(x_{2m}, (fT)(x))$$

$$= d(f(x), x_1) + d(f(x_1), x_2) + \dots + d(f(x_{2m}), T(x)) < (2m+1)\frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \frac{n\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Let n > 2 and n = 2m $(m \ge 2)$ be even. Then

$$d(f(x),T(x)) \le d(f(x),x_2) + d(f(x_2),x_3) + \dots + d(x_{2m-1},(fT)(x))$$

$$= d(f(x), x_2) + d(f(x_2), x_3) + \dots + d(f(x_{2m-1}), T(x)) < \varepsilon + (2m-2)\frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \frac{n\varepsilon}{2} \text{ (by (1) and (A))}$$

Therefore
$$d(f(x), T(x)) \le \frac{n\varepsilon}{2}$$
 (2)

Since T is (ε, λ) uniformly locally I-contractive, following (1) we have

$$d((fT)(x_{i-1}), T(x_i)) < \lambda d(x_{i-1}, x_i) \le \lambda d(f(x_{i-1}), x_i) < \frac{\lambda \varepsilon}{2}, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

Therefore by induction, $((fT^m)(x_{i-1}), T^m(x_i)) < \frac{\lambda^m \varepsilon}{2}$, $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

and
$$d((fT^m)(x_o), T^m(x_2)) < \lambda^m \varepsilon, \ \forall m \in \mathbb{N} \ (\text{by } (A)).$$

Therefore following the procedure of proving of (2), we have

$$d((fT^m)(x), T^{m+1}(x)) < \frac{\lambda^m n\varepsilon}{2}, \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$$
(3)

Now let $(fT^m)(x) = (fT^n)(x)$, for some $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with m > n.

Let p = m - n, $u = T^n(x)$.

Then $(fT^p)(u) = f(u)$ so that $(fT^{kp})(u) = f(u)$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$; since fT = Tf

Now, $T(u) \in X$. Therefore, similarly we shall get

$$d\big((fT^m)(u), T^{m+1}(u)\big) < \frac{\lambda^m n\varepsilon}{2}, \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ for some } \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (4) (similar to (3)).

Then
$$d(f(u), T(u)) = d(f(u), (fT)(u)) = d((fT^{kp})(u), T^{kp+1}(u))$$
 (since $fT = Tf$) $< \frac{\lambda^{kp} n\varepsilon}{2} \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$ (since $\lambda \in [0, 1)$).

therefore (fT)(u) = f(u).

therefore let $(fT^m)(x) \neq (fT^n)(x)$, $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now we shall show that $\{T^n(x)\}$ is an I-cauchy sequence in X. Since $\lambda \in [0,1)$, $\exists k \ (>2) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda^k < \frac{1}{n}$.

By (3),
$$d\Big((fT^k)(x), T^{k+1}(x)\Big) < \frac{\lambda^k n\varepsilon}{2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ and } d\Big((fT^{k+1})(x), T^{k+2}(x)\Big) < \frac{\lambda^{k+1} n\varepsilon}{2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

Therefore by (A),
$$d(fT^k)(x), T^{k+2}(x) < \varepsilon$$
 (5)

Let $m > k \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary.

If $n = 2q + 1 \ (q \ge 0)$ be odd, then

$$d\big((fT^m)(x), T^{m+n}(x)\big) \leq d\big((fT^m)(x), T^{m+1}(x)\big) + d\big((fT^{m+1})(x), T^{m+2}(x)\big)$$

quene mairos mensi Varios

Gujarut Research Society

Journal of The Gujarat Research Society

ISSN: 0374-8588 Volume 21 Issue 16, December 2019

$$+ \cdots ... + d \Big((fT^{m+2q})(x), T^{m+2q+1}(x) \Big)$$

$$< (\lambda^m + \lambda^{m+1} + \cdots ... + \lambda^{m+2q}) \frac{n\varepsilon}{2} \text{ (by (3))} < \frac{\lambda^m}{1-\lambda} \frac{n\varepsilon}{2}$$
If $n = 2q \ (q \ge 1)$ be even, then
$$d \Big((fT^m)(x), T^{m+n}(x) \Big) \le d \Big((fT^m)(x), T^{m+2}(x) \Big) + d \Big((fT^{m+2})(x), T^{m+3}(x) \Big)$$

$$+ \cdots ... + d \Big((fT^{m+2q-1})(x), T^{m+2q}(x) \Big)$$

$$< \lambda^{m-k}\varepsilon + (\lambda^{m+2} + \lambda^{m+3} + \cdots ... + \lambda^{m+2q-1}) \frac{n\varepsilon}{2} \text{ (by (A))} < \lambda^{m-k}\varepsilon + \frac{\lambda^{m+2}}{1-\lambda} \frac{n\varepsilon}{2} \text{ (by (3) and (4))}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda^{m-k}\varepsilon}{2(1-\lambda)} (2 - 2\lambda + n\lambda^{k-2})$$

Therefore for positive integer n, we have

$$d\big((fT^m)(x), T^{m+n}(x)\big) < \frac{\lambda^{m-k}\varepsilon}{2(1-\lambda)} r, \text{ where } r = \max\{n \lambda^k, 2-2 \lambda + n \lambda^{k-2}\}$$

Since k is fixed and $\in [0, 1)$, hence $\lambda^{m-k} \to 0$, as $m \to \infty$, so that

$$d((fT^m)(x), T^{m+n}(x)) \to 0$$
 as $\to \infty$. Therefore $\{T^n(x)\}$ is I-cauchy.

Since X is T-orbitally I-complete, hence $\{T^n(x)\}\$ I-converges to some point u in X.

Since T is uniformly locally I-contractive map, hence T is I-continuous.

Therefore $\{T(T^n(x))\}$ I-converges t u.

Again $\{T(T^n(x))\}$, i.e., $\{T^{n+1}(x)\}$ I-converges to u.

Therefore
$$(fT)(u) = f(u)$$
 (6)

Therefore u is an I-fixed point of T.

Let v be another I-fixed point of T. then
$$(fT)(v) = f(v)$$
 (7)

Since X is $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ —chainable, there exists finite number of points $u=x_0$, x_1 ,, $x_n=v$ such that $d(f(x_{i-1}),x_i)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \, \text{for } i=1,2,\ldots,n$.

Then similarly, as proved above, we have
$$((fT^m)(u), T^m(v)) < \frac{\lambda^m n\varepsilon}{2}$$
, $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$ (8)

Therefore
$$d(f(u), v) = d(f(u), f(v)) = d((fT^m)(u), (fT^m)(v))$$

$$((6), (7), \text{ and since } T = Tf)$$

$$=d\big((fT^m)(u),T^m(v)\big)<\frac{\lambda^m n\varepsilon}{2}\ (\text{by }(8)\)\to 0\ \text{as }m\to\infty$$

Therefore d(f(u), v) = 0 so that f(u) = f(v). Therefore u is I-unique.

Definition (3.8) [Sequentially convergence] Let (X, d, f) be an I-g.m.s. A map $T: X \to X$ is said to be sequentially I-convergent if for every sequence $\{y_n\}$, if $\{T(x_n)\}$ is I-convergent, then $\{y_n\}$ is also Iconvergent.

T is said to be sub-sequentially I-convergent if for every sequence $\{y_n\}$, if $\{T(x_n)\}$ is I-convergent, then $\{y_n\}$ has an I-convergent subsequence.



Volume 21 Issue 16, December 2019

Theorem (3.9)[Extended Kannan contraction principle] Let (X, d, f) be an I-complete I-g.m.s. and $T, S: X \to X$ such that T is I-continuous, sub-sequentially I-convergent and fT is I-injective .

If
$$\lambda \in [0, 1/2)$$
 and $d((fTS)(x), (TS)(y)) \le \lambda(d((fT)(x), (TS)(x)) + d((fT)(y), (TS)(y))), \forall x, y \in X$ (1)

Then S has an I-fixed point. In addition, if fS = Sf then this I-fixed point of S is I-unique. Also if T is sequentially I-convergent then for every $x_o \in X$ the sequence $\{S^n(x_o)\}$ I-converges to this I-fixed point.

Proof: Let $x_o \in X$ be arbitrary. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $x_n = S^n(x_o)$. Using (1) we get

$$d((fT)(x_n), T(x_{n+1})) = d((fTS)(x_{n-1}), (TS)(x_n))$$

$$\leq \lambda (d((fT)(x_{n-1}), (TS)(x_{n-1})) + d((fT)(x_n), (TS)(x_n)))$$
(2)

$$\Rightarrow d((fT)(x_n), T(x_{n+1})) \le \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} d((fT)(x_{n-1}), T(x_n))$$
(3)

$$\leq \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^2 d\left((fT)(x_{n-2}), T(x_{n-1})\right)$$
 (by the same argument)

$$\leq \cdots \ldots \leq \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^n d\left((fT)(x_0), T(x_1)\right) \tag{4}$$

Now for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$d((fT)(x_m), T(x_n)) = d((fTS)(x_{m-1}), (TS)(x_{n-1}))$$

$$\leq \lambda \left(d((fT)(x_{m-1}), (TS)(x_{m-1}) + d((fT)(x_{n-1}), (TS)(x_{n-1})) \right)$$
 (by (1))

$$= \lambda \left(d((fT)(x_{m-1}), T(x_m)) + d((fT)(x_{n-1}), T(x_n)) \right)$$

$$\leq \lambda \left(\left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^{m-1} + \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^{n-1} \right) d\left((fT)(x_o), T(x_1) \right) \text{ (by (4))} \to 0 \text{ as } m, n \to \infty \text{ (since } 0 \leq \lambda < \frac{1}{2})$$

Therefore $\{T(x_n)\}$ is an I-cauchy sequence. Since X is I-complete, hence $\{T(x_n)\}$ I-converges to some point $v \in X$. Since T is sub-sequentially I-convergent, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ has an I-convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ I-converging to a point $\in X$. Since T is I-continuous and $\{x_{n_k}\}$ I-converges to u, hence $\{T(x_{n_k})\}$ I-converges to T(u).

Again $\{T(x_n)\}$ I-converges to $v \Rightarrow \{T(x_{n_k})\}$ I-converges to v. Therefore T(u) and v are I-unique, so that (fT)(u) = f(v).

Now
$$d((fTS)(u), T(u)) \le d((fTS)(u), (TS^{n_k})(x_o)) + d((fTS^{n_k})(x_o), (TS^{n_{k+1}})(x_o)) + d((TS^{n_{k+1}})(x_o), (fT)(u)).$$

$$\leq \lambda \left(d \big((fT)(u), (TS)(u) \big) + d \big((fT) \big(S^{n_k - 1}(x_o) \big), (TS^{n_k})(x_o) \big) \right) + \left(\frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} \right)^m d \big((fT)(x_o), T(x_1) \big) \\ + d \big((fT) \big(x_{n_k + 1} \big), T(u) \big) \quad \text{(by (1) and (4))}.$$

$$= \lambda d\big((fTS)(u), T(u)\big) + \lambda d\big((fT)\big(x_{n_k-1}\big), T\big(x_{n_k}\big)\big) + \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^m d\big((fT)(x_o), T(x_1)\big)$$



ISSN: 0374-8588 Volume 21 Issue 16, December2019

.....

$$+d((fT)(x_{n_k+1}),T(u)) (5)$$

Therefore
$$d((fTS)(u), T(u)) \leq \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} d((fT)(x_{n_k-1}), T(x_{n_k})) + \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} (\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda})^m d((fT)(x_o), T(x_1)) + \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} d((fT)(x_{n_k+1}), T(u)) \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty$$

(since
$$\{T(x_n)\}$$
 is I-cauchy, $0 \le \lambda < 1/2$ and $\{T(x_{n_k})\}$ I-converges to $T(u)$) (6)

therefore
$$d((fTS)(u), T(u)) = 0$$
 (7)

therefore
$$(fTS)(u) = (fT)(u)$$
 (8)

$$\Rightarrow (fS)(u) = f(u) \text{ (since } fT \text{ is I-injective)}$$
(9)

Therefore u is an I-fixed point of S.

Let
$$Sf = fS$$
. Let w be any I-fixed point of S in X . then $(fS)(w) = f(w)$ (10)

from (10) we get
$$(fTfS)(w) = (fTf)(w) = (fTSf)(w)$$
 (since $Sf = fS$) (11)

Now
$$d((fTS)(u), (TS)(f(w))) \le \lambda \left(d((fT)(u), (TS)(u)) + d((fT)(f(w)), (TS)(f(w)))\right)$$
 (by (1))

$$= \lambda (d((fTS)(u), T(u)) + d((fT)(f(w)), (TS)(f(w))) = 0) \text{ (by (8) and (11))}$$

Therefore d((fTS)(u), (TSf)(w)) = 0

$$\Rightarrow$$
 $(fTS)(u) = (fTSf)(w) \Rightarrow (fS)(u) = (fSf)(w)$ (Since fT is I-injective)

$$\Rightarrow$$
 $(fS)(u) = (fS)(w)$ (since $f = fS$)

$$\Rightarrow f(u) = f(w)$$
 (by (9) and (10)).

therefore u is I-unique.

Now if T is sequentially I-convergent, replacing $\{n_k\}$ by $\{n\}$, we can say that $\{x_n\}$ I-converges to u, i.e., $\{x_n\}$ I-converges to the I-fixed point of S in X.

Definition (3.10) Let (X, d, f) be an I-g.m.s. and $: X \to X$. We say that $x \in X$ is an I-periodic point of T if $(fT^k)(x) = f(x)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem (3.11) let (X, d, f) be an I-Housdorff and I-complete I-g.m.s. Let $T : X \to X$ such that fT = Tf and for all $y \in X$,

$$d\big((fT)(x),T(y)\big) \le \frac{1}{2}\Big(d\big(f(x),T(x)\big) + d\big(f(y),T(y)\big)\Big) - \varphi(d(f(x),T(x)),d(f(y),T(y))) \tag{1}$$

where $\varphi:[0,\infty)\times[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$ is continuous and $\varphi(a,b)=0$ iff a=b=0. Then there exists an I-unique I-fixed point of T in X.

Proof: Let $x_o \in X$ be arbitrary. let $x_n = T(x_{n-1}) = T^n(x_o), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(x_n) = f(x_{n-1})$, the proof is finished.

Let
$$f(x_n) = f(x_{n-1}), \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$
.

From (1) we get



ISSN: 0374-8588

Volume 21 Issue 16, December 2019

.....

$$d(f(x_{n+1}), x_n) = d((fT)(x_n), T(x_{n-1}))$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \Big(d\Big(f(x_n), T(x_n) \Big) + d\Big(f(x_{n-1}), T(x_{n-1}) \Big) \Big) - \varphi \Big(d\Big(f(x_n), T(x_n) \Big), d\Big(f(x_{n-1}), T(x_{n-1}) \Big) \Big)$$
(2)

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}\Big(d\big(x_n,f(x_{n+1})\big)+d\big(x_{n-1},f(x_n)\big)\Big)$$

$$\Rightarrow d(f(x_{n+1}),x_n) \leq d(f(x_n),x_{n-1}), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Therefore the sequence $\{d(f(x_{n+1}), x_n)\}$ is monotone decreasing and bounded below, and hence is convergent in \mathbb{R} . Therefore there exists $p \ge 0$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(f(x_{n+1}), x_n) = p$.

Taking $n \to \infty$, from (2) we get

$$p \le \frac{1}{2}(p+p) - \varphi(p,p)$$
 (since φ is continuous).

This implies that $0 \le \varphi(p, p) \le 0 \Longrightarrow \varphi(p, p) = 0$ so that p = 0.

Therefore
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(f(x_{n+1}), x_n) = 0$$
 (3)

Using (1), (3) and continuity and given property of φ , similarly, we shall get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(f(x_{n+2}), x_n) = 0 \tag{4}$$

We shall now show that T has an I-periodic point. If possible, let T has no I-periodic point.

Then $f(x_n) \neq f(x_m)$, $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \neq n$.

In this case, we claim that $\{x_n\}$ is I-cauchy. if possible let $\{x_n\}$ is not I-cauchy. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for a positive integer k, there exists integer n_k (> k) and the least positive integer m_k with

$$m_k > n_k > k$$
 such that $d(f(x_{n_k}), x_{m_k}) > \varepsilon$ (5)

Then
$$d(f(x_{n_k}), x_{m_k-1}) \le \varepsilon$$
 (6)

Now
$$\varepsilon < d(f(x_{n_k}), x_{m_k}) \le d(f(x_{m_k}), x_{m_k-2}) + d(f(x_{m_k-2}), x_{m_k-1}) + d(f(x_{m_k-1}), x_{n_k})$$

$$\leq d(f(x_{m_k}), x_{m_k-2}) + d(f(x_{m_k-2}), x_{m_k-1}) + \varepsilon \text{ (by (5) and (6))}$$

Then using (3) and (4), we get
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} d(f(x_{n_k}), x_{m_k}) = \varepsilon$$
 (7)

$$\operatorname{now} d(f(x_{m_k}), x_{n_k}) = d((fT)(x_{m_k-1}), T(x_{n_k-1}))$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \Big(d(f(x_{m_k-1}), x_{m_k}) + d(f(x_{n_k-1}), x_{n_k}) \Big) - \varphi \Big(d(f(x_{m_k-1}), x_{m_k}), d(f(x_{n_k-1}), x_{n_k}) \Big)$$

taking $k \to \infty$ we get

$$\varepsilon \le \frac{1}{2}(0+0) - \varphi(0,0)$$
 (by (3) and (7) and continuity of φ)

Therefore $\varepsilon \leq 0$ (by property of φ), a contradiction.

Therefore $\{x_n\}$ is I-cauchy in X. Since X is I-complete, $\{x_n\}$ I-converges to some point u in X.

Now
$$d(fT)(x_n), T(u) \le \frac{1}{2} \left(d(f(x_n), T(x_n)) + d(f(u), T(u)) \right) - \varphi(d(f(x_n), T(x_n)), d(f(u), T(u)))$$

(by (1))



ISSN: 0374-8588

Volume 21 Issue 16, December 2019

$$\Rightarrow d(f(x_{n+1}), T(u)) \le \frac{1}{2} \Big(d(f(x_n), x_{n+1}) + d(f(u), T(u)) \Big)$$

$$-\varphi \Big(d(f(x_n), x_{n+1}), d(f(u), T(u)) \Big)$$
(8)

$$\Rightarrow d(f(x_{n+1}), T(u)) \le \frac{1}{2} \left(d(f(x_n), x_{n+1}) + d(f(u), T(u)) \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} d(f(x_{n+1}), T(u)) \le \frac{1}{2} d(f(u), T(u)) \text{ (by (3))}$$

Let $f(x_n) \neq f(u)$ and $(x_n) \neq (fT)(u), \forall n \geq 2$.

Then
$$d(f(u), T(u)) \le d(f(u), x_n) + d(f(x_n), x_{n+1}) + d(f(x_{n+1}), T(u))$$

$$\Rightarrow d(f(u), T(u)) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} d(f(x_{n+1}), T(u))$$
(10)

(by (3) and since $\{x_n\}$ I-converges to u)

From (9) and (10) we get

$$d(f(u), T(u)) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} d(f(x_{n+1}), T(u)) \le \frac{1}{2} d(f(u), T(u))$$

$$\Rightarrow d(f(u),T(u)) = 0 \Rightarrow (fT)(u) = f(u)$$

Therefore u is an I-fixed point of T so that u is an I-periodic point of T which contradicts the fact that T has no I-periodic point.

Let for some positive integer $r \ge 2$, $f(x_r) = f(u)$ or $f(x_r) = (fT)(u)$

Since T has no I-periodic point, hence $f(u) \neq f(x_0)$

Now
$$d((fT^n)(u), u) = d((fT^n)(x_r), u) = d(f(x_{n+r}), u)$$

Or,
$$d((fT^n)(u), u) = d((fT^{n-1})(x_r), u) = d(f(x_{n+r-1}), u), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$
 (since $fT = Tf$).

Since $r \geq 2$ is fixed, hence $\{x_{n+r}\}$ and $\{x_{n+r-1}\}$ are subsequences of $\{x_n\}$ and since $\{x_n\}$ I-converges to u in X which is I-Housdorff, hence $\{x_{n+r}\}$ and $\{x_{n+r-1}\}$ both I-converges to u.

Therefore $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(f(x_{n+r}), u) = 0 = \lim_{n\to\infty} d(f(x_{n+r-1}), u)$.

Therefore
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d((fT^n)(u), u) = 0$$
 (since $fT = Tf$) (11)

Again since X is I-Housdorff and T has no I-periodic point, from (11) we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d((fT^{n+2})(u), u) = 0 , \text{ (since } fT = Tf \text{)}.$$

$$\tag{12}$$

Since T has no I-periodic point, hence $(fT^s)(u) \neq (fT^t)(u), \forall s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $s \neq t$ (13)

Using (13) and rectangular inequality, we have

$$\left| d \left((fT^{n+1})(u), T(u) \right) - d (f(u), T(u)) \right| \le d \left((fT^{n+1})(u), T^{n+2}(u) \right) + d \left((fT^{n+2})(u), u \right)$$

taking
$$n \to \infty$$
, we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} d((fT^{n+1})(u), T(u)) = d(f(u), T(u))$ (14)

(Using (3) and replacing x_0 by u)

Similarly we have
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(fT^n)(u), T(u) = d(f(u), T(u))$$
 (15)

Now $d((fT^{n+1})(u), T(u))$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \Big(d \big((fT^n)(u), T(u) \big) + d \big(f(u), T(u) \big) \Big) - \varphi \Big(d \big((fT^n)(u), T(u) \big), d \big(f(u), T(u) \big) \Big) \text{ (by (1))}$$

Volume 21 Issue 16, December 2019

.....

Taking $n \to \infty$, we get

Gujarat Research Society

$$d(f(u), T(u)) \le \frac{1}{2} \left(d(f(u), T(u)) + d(f(u), T(u)) \right) - \varphi \left(d(f(u), T(u)), d(f(u), T(u)) \right)$$
(by (14), (15) and continuity of φ)

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq \varphi \left(d \big(f(u), T(u) \big), d \big(f(u), T(u) \big) \right) \leq 0 \\ \Rightarrow \varphi \left(d \big(f(u), T(u) \big), d \big(f(u), T(u) \big) \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow d(f(u), T(u)) = 0$$
 (by property of φ)

$$\Rightarrow$$
 $(fT)(u) = f(u) \Rightarrow u$ is an I-fixed point of T .so that u is an I-periodic point of T , a contradiction.

Therefore T has an I-periodic point. Therefore there exists $u \in X$ such that

$$(fT^k)(u) = f(u)$$
, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (16)

If k = 1 in (16), then (fT)(u) = f(u) and in this case u is an I-fixed point of T.

Let
$$k > 1$$
. Let $(fT^k)(u) \neq (fT^{k-1})(u)$. Then $d((fT^{k-1})(u), T^k(u)) > 0$ so that

$$\varphi\left(d\Big((fT^{k-1})(u),T^k(u)\Big),d\Big((fT^{k-1})(u),T^k(u)\Big)\right)>0$$

Now
$$d(f(u), T(u)) = d((fT^k)(u), (fT)(u)) = d((fT^k)(u), (fT^{k+1})(u))$$
 (by (16) and $T = Tf$)

$$=d\Big((fT)(T^{k-1}(u)),T(T^k(u))\Big) \leq \frac{1}{2}(d((fT^{k-1})(u),T^k(u))) + d((fT^k)(u),T(T^k(u)))) \\ -\varphi(d((fT^{k-1})(u),T^k(u))),d((fT^k)(u),T(T^k(u))))$$

$$<\frac{1}{2}(d((fT^{k-1})(u),T^k(u)))+d(f(u),T(u)))$$
 (by (16) and $fT=Tf$)

Therefore
$$d(f(u), T(u)) < d((fT^{k-1})(u), T^k(u))$$
 (17)

Again $d((fT^{k-1})(u), T^k(u))) = d((fT)(T^{k-2}(u)), T(T^{k-1}(u)))$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(d\left((fT^{k-2})(u), T^{k-1}(u) \right) + d\left((fT^{k-1})(u), T^k(u) \right) \right)$$

$$- \varphi \left(d\left((fT^{k-2})(u), T^{k-1}(u) \right), d\left((fT^{k-1})(u), T^k(u) \right) \right) \text{ (by (1))}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(d \Big((fT^{k-2})(u), T^{k-1}(u) \Big) + d \Big((fT^{k-1})(u), T^k(u) \Big) \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow d\Big((fT^{k-1})(u), T^k(u)\Big) \le d\Big((fT^{k-2})(u), T^{k-1}(u)\Big) \tag{18}$$

From (17) and (18) we get
$$(f(u), T(u)) \le d((fT^{k-2})(u), T^{k-1}(u))$$
 (19)

Proceeding in this way, after finite number of steps, we get

d(f(u),T(u)) < d(f(u),T(u)), a contradiction.

Therefore $(fT^k)(u) = (fT^{k-1})(u)$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 $(fT)(T^{k-1}(u)) = f(T^{k-1}(u)) \Rightarrow T^{k-1}(u)$ is an I-fixed point of T .

Let there are two points $x, y \in X$ such that (fT)(x) = f(x) and (fT)(y) = f(y).

ISSN: 0374-8588 Volume 21 Issue 16, December2019

Now
$$d(f(x), y) = d(f(x), f(y)) = d((fT)(x), (fT)(y)) = d((fT)(x), T(y))$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} (d((fT)(x), T(x)) + d((fT)(y), T(y))) - \varphi(d((fT)(x), T(x)), d((fT)(y), T(y))) \text{ (by (1))}$$

$$= 0$$

$$\Rightarrow d(f(x), y) = 0 \Rightarrow f(x) = f(y).$$

Therefore *T* has an I-unique I-fixed point in *X* .

Conclusion

Further study may be continued for generalization of various contractive conditions and fixed point result.

References

- [1] Arshad M., Ahmad J.; Karapinar E., Some Common Fixed Point Results in Rectangular Metric Spaces, Intern. J. Analysis, Article ID 307234. (2013).
- [2] Aydi H., Karapinar E.; Lakzian H., Fixed Point Results on a class of generalized metric spaces, Math. Sci. (A Springer Open J.), 2012, 6:46.
- [3] Banach S. (1922); Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstracts ET leur applications aux equations integrals, fund.math3, pp. 133-181.
- [4] Branciari A.; A fixed point theorem of Banach-Caccioppoli type on a class of generalized metric spaces, Publ. Math. Debrecen, (57) 1-2 (2000), PP 31-37.
- [5] Das P. and Dey L. K.; A Fixed Point Theorem in a Generalized Metric Space, Soochow J. Math., Vol. 33, No. 1 (2007), PP 33-39.
- [6] Kannan R.; Some results on fixed points, Bull. Calcutta Math Soc. 60 (1968), 71-76.
- [7] Kikina L., Kikina K.; A fixed point theorem in generalized metric spaces, Demonstratio Mathematica, Vol. XLVI, No. 1, 2013 (10.1515/dema-2013-0432).
- [8] Moradi S.; Kannan fixed-point theorem on complete metric spaces and on generalized metric spaces depended on another function, 2009, arXiv: 0903.1577v1.