Journal of The Gujarat Research Society

ISSN: 0374-8588
Volume 21 Issue 11, November 2019

AN OVERVIEW OF VALUE CREATION

Ms. Shwetha B.V. Assistant Professor,
School of Commerce, JAIN (Deemed-to-be University), Bangalore
Email Id- bv.shwetha@jainuniversity.ac.in

Abstract

The aim of the paper is to carry out a literature review of studies on value generation and value capture in order to identify potential holes that reflect still unexplored fields of strategic management. A systemic approach enables a deeper understanding through an analysis of current discourse and the recognition of study discrepancies surrounding human resources' value production and value capture. Based on its two main roles: exploratory and confirmatory, literature review is central. In the exploratory position, literature is used to explain and to illuminate the key study sources of the ongoing value generation debate, while the confirmatory approach permits researchers to uncover the investigational holes and check whether current research has produced adequate and/or conflicting outcomes.

Keywords: *Human resource management, Strategic management, Value creation, Value capture, Literature.*

I. INTRODUCTION

The process of creating value is fundamental to integrated thought and creating value. Strategically speaking, the business model places a central role in the value development process, which converts precious capital and connections (inputs) into outcomes (outputs) (outcomes and impacts) [1]. Quality is eventually generated or lost by the market and operational model for consumers and other actors. Most integrated reporting organizations use the International Integrated Reporting System as the core feature of integrated reporting to set out their value development and business model. This approach offers a tool for connecting intention, policy and value-generation across the related capitals, effects and impacts [2].

Business models in many markets and sectors are challenged by, for example, technical developments and digitization, the exhaustion of the capital, climatic consequences or other social change, including significant business and organizational models [3]. The business model would potentially impact the company's long term resilience and sustainable capacity to respond to improvements (e.g. supply, efficiency and accessible vital resources or capital). To achieve that value over time means making important decisions where the business competes (e.g. markets, geography, segments), identifying the main strategic and business model risks and opportunities, ensuring that products and services meet client needs and meet societal challenges and working with critical value generation partners [4]. To create long-term value, organizations must create infrastructure that serves their clients and partners' needs, and the capacity and partnerships (tangible and intangible assets).

Consequently, judgments on the distribution of money and services are a central factor of how value is generated and retained. Capital maintenance investments and strategic asset capability growth, such as expertise, creativity, technology, brands and intellectual properties, allow for the production of value [5]. In addition to estimated financial gains and the effects of internal and external partners, and to a broader range of impacts, they must be addressed.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A. **Value creation: -**

In the fields of company and management value development is widely debated, partially because it is in close relation with the creativity many firms aspire to accomplish. Innovative businesses depend on R&D capital spending to implement new technologies or services. Some analysts suggest that managers have good optimistic prospects for the future of business growth and its financial results as they are making rational choices on investment in R&D. No doubt the impact on R&D is incredibly positive [6].

B. **Value Appropriation: -**

Because the financial efficiency of corporations is under rising pressures, this enormous strain can be relieved by a company's emphasis on value appropriation. Appropriation of value can strengthen business market positions, either by decreasing their competitors' value appropriations or extending the duration of the value appropriation of the company[7]. Many marketing activities are more profitable than investing in trademarks, since advertising's favorable influence helps businesses to gain sustained benefit. The value appropriation and the competitive advantage level of firms are linked. On the basis of different company attributes and the present market climate, a company should make a balance between generating value and creating profits, claiming that these two are related equally [8].

C**. The relationship between innovation and value creation: -**

The use of an improved approach that refers to a new, unstated, or current requirement may be regarded as invention. Innovation is one of the key factors for business performance and helps to increase corporate wealth by creating value, especially as innovators invest in complementary properties. The introduction of new goods leads to the creation of values by promoting marketing knowledge[9].

D**. The relationship between advertising and value appropriation: -**

The aim of advertisement is either to encourage potential and current consumers to buy the goods or services of a firm, to market new products or services, to increase the identity of a brand or to generate demand for its product. Advertising helps separate a business from rivals, which by value appropriation has a beneficial impact on marketing revenue and firm value. Furthermore, promotional spending contributes by exploiting the benefit appropriation to reduce systematic risk [10].

E. **Industry: -**

The production of value and appropriation of value may have different consequences on enterprises and associated industries. Manufacturing firms profit more from R&D investment, while non-producing businesses benefit most from advertising investments, because the vast sum of money that would need to be invested to conquer the existing brand loyalty could deter competition from entering an advertising-intensive market. In the pharmaceutical business, it is not necessary to spend a great deal of capital in R+D as the key productivity of pharmaceutical companies is valuable. In the high-tech sector, businesses are focused more on value generation than value appropriation, as long-term R&D investment is more cost-effective than long-term advertisement investment. The success of a high-tech business needs an efficient distribution of capital because of the absence of competition dependent on ads and thus stresses R&D. Whilst diverse industries can lead to different investment decisions, businesses take decisions on value development and value appropriation in different ways in the same industry depending on the current circumstances and climate.

F. **Economy Environment: -**

Investment in value development, e.g. R&D, contributed to a favorable impact from an industrial point of view that can accelerate economic growth and productivity. Another view is that investment in value production will still lead to prosperity, even without publicity spending. Price appropriation, for example ads, is not, however, capable of increasing the rate of growth but because of economies of scale.

G. **Size of the firm: -**

Although all accept that larger corporations seem to have a stronger influence on the efficiency of the development of value and on the appropriation of value, smaller, professional companies may

ISSN: 0374-8588
Volume 21 Issue 11, November 2019

also have a substantial impact on these sectors. Compared to big corporations, small companies are less able to hold the equivalent expert properties, and may thus make expansive investments in the development of assets or create coalitions of their rivals or their shareholders.

H. **Value creation theory in future stakeholder research: -**

This final segment explores the possible role of value development in future study and practice by stakeholders. The previous sections concentrated primarily on description and clarification of core topics in value development theory from the literature concerned. The last section is about the capacity of stakeholder analysis in value development theory. The opportunity seems very strong and the value development scholarship is rising. Basically, value development is the central reason for both theory of agency as well as the theory of stakeholders. Managers generate value for clients in agency theory; managers in stakeholder theory create value for a wider stakeholder constellation. The comparison of the two opposing methods relies on the effects of value development. Measuring effects has still not been well established.

A business works in a consumer economy in agency theory. The implied belief that shareholder value appreciation is, or happens together with, the production of value for other stakeholders is the basis for a fairly dynamic and creative business economy which is increasing over time. But it is not always valid the implicit presumption. Shareholder value can come from other stakeholders by value loss or value appropriation. Owing to the implicit existence of the critical presumption, the possible improvements were not completely established in the literature. If creativity is stable, the lower the shareholder value, the higher the degree of rivalry, while the higher the monopolies, the higher the shareholder value formation. In agency philosophy, value development is solely instrumental to non-shareholder parties: if a company can succeed in generating value for non-shareholder owners, it does; if a company can thrive or acceptable value anywhere, then it does so. Regulatory and other external limitations on business behaviour can exist, but wealth production for shareholders is the underlying justification. The business works in a more dynamic world – consumer and non-market – in stakeholder theory. The key argument is the production of profit as possible for as many stakeholders. Multiple value generation by participants is not instrumental; it is the intent of the organization in the philosophy of stakeholders. It needs a lot of investigation and scientific confirmation to obtain these results. The management is entrusted to Freeman and his colleague's success. Effective administrators overcome several value creation challenges. The fact that shareholders flourish is implicit in this strategy as non-shareholders thrive.

III. CONCLUSION

To create value implies to create a high-performance community. This involves opening up collaboration and feedback networks that allow for more innovation and technical reinvestment. This initiatives help to encourage a distinct creativity community. A revitalized work culture also

ISSN: 0374-8588
Volume 21 Issue 11, November 2019

offers increased benefits and encourages workers to promote development – short-term growth due to immediate sales and cost savings and long-term growth driven by empowered employees. Therefore, corporate priorities must be tracked imminently. In this changing global economic world, the competitiveness of a company is not stagnant. Through the provision of modern IT technology and trained staff, CityHub will contribute to the day-to-day activities and service needs. Business managers and staff will also be fully informed of the accomplishment of their corporate priorities and the introduction of more successful development plans.

IV. REFERENCES

[1] R. Amit and C. Zott, “Value creation in e-business,” *Strateg. Manag. J.*, 2001, doi: 10.1002/smj.187.
[2] C. K. Prahalad and V. Ramaswamy, “Co-creation experiences: The next practice in value creation,” *J. Interact. Mark.*, 2004, doi: 10.1002/dir.20015.
[3] R. L. Priem, “A consumer perspective on value creation,” *Academy of Management Review*. 2007, doi: 10.5465/AMR.2007.23464055.
[4] A. Seth, “Value Creation in ,” *Strateg. Manag. J.*, 1990.
[5] J. B. Smith and M. Colgate, “Customer value creation: A practical framework,” *J. Mark. Theory Pract.*, 2007, doi: 10.2753/MTP1069-6679150101.
[6] C. Grönroos, “Value co-creation in service logic: A critical analysis,” *Mark. Theory*, 2011, doi: 10.1177/1470593111408177.
[7] G. Hayes, “Value creation,” *Cleanroom Technology*. 2004, doi: 10.9774/gleaf.9781783532957_11.
[8] E. Jaakkola and T. Hakanen, “Value co-creation in solution networks,” *Ind. Mark. Manag.*, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.indmarman.2012.11.005.
[9] C. Tantalo and R. L. Priem, “Value creation through stakeholder synergy,” *Strateg. Manag. J.*, 2016, doi: 10.1002/smj.2337.
[10] O. Chatain and P. Zemsky, “Value creation and value capture with frictions,” *Strateg. Manag. J.*, 2011, doi: 10.1002/smj.939.