

MENTAL PRESSURE ON EMPLOYEES AT WORKPLACE

Ms. Harshitha RaJ

Department of Humanities

Jain (Deemed-to-be University), Ramnagar District, Karnataka - 562112

Email Id- harshitharaj93@gmail.com

Abstract

Disagreements between managers and employees about the causes of accidents and unsafe work behaviors can lead to serious workplace conflicts and distract organizations from the important work of establishing a positive safety climate and reducing the incidence of accidents. The study then contrasts employees' and managers' specific attributional perceptions. Findings from these more fine-grained analyses suggest the two groups differ in several respects about individual constructs. Most notable were contrasts in attributions based on their perceptions of safety climate. It can be concluded from this study that in a highly interdependent work environment, such as a steel mill, where high system reliability is essential and members possess substantial experience working together, managers and employees will share general mental models about the factors that contribute to unsafe behaviors, and, ultimately, to workplace accidents. It is possible that organizations not as tightly coupled as steel mills can use such organizations as benchmarks, seeking ways to create a shared understanding of factors that contribute to a safe work environment. Part of this improvement effort should focus on advancing the organizational safety climate.

Keywords: *Depression, Employees, Mental Pressure, Organization Culture, Psychological Symptoms.*

I. INTRODUCTION

When perceived climate is poor, managers believe employees are responsible and employees believe managers are responsible for workplace safety. However, as the perceived safety climate improves, managers and employees converge in their perceptions of who is responsible for safety. The industrial place of job safety requires multi-level support and cooperation. pinnacle-stage managers ought to set up an advantageous protection climate, supervisors must demonstrate being concerned attitudes and excellent examples, preservation human beings need to keep devices running correctly, and operators ought to set up sustainable safe work conduct. Moreover, each person should be worried in disposing of safety dangers and have interaction in post incident assessments. Even though the maximum hit protection programs

involve multi-level cooperation, years of history and traditional boundaries across organizational strains could make it hard[1].

The human tendency for blame-casting, which has been defined in the context of attribution principle can lead to nonproductive finger-pointing and the deterioration of cross level relationships. As an example, in a post-accident mirrored image, the manager can also pay attention to a worker's carelessness in the usage of the system. In comparison, the injured employee is probably to discover a terrible gadget characteristic or some other thing outside to him- or herself because of the motive. If we take a look at this phenomenon in more depth, we're probably to find that even if carelessness is implicated, the behavior is likely to have been the outcome of the climate created with the aid of the organization[2].

Attributions approximately protection have crucial implications for action: a number of the conflicts related to safety get up due to variations in notion. As in the fantasy of the blind men and the elephant, anyone has a tendency to peer most effectively at part of the trouble. One way to consider capacity similarities/variations between managers and personnel is to look at shared mental fashions, an organizational phenomenon that has been studied by some of researchers. This look at examines protection-associated perceptual/attitudinal variations between managers and running-degree employees the use of a shared mental version framework. It builds on a previous look at that targeted on the factors underlying employees' propensities to paintings effectively or unsafely, but adds a managerial measurement for evaluation[3].

Stemming from the researchers' hobby in intellectual models and go-level variations, two trendy research questions are addressed. First, do managers and personnel proportion comparable perceptions in regards to elements that lead to secure or unsafe work behaviors? 2nd, if they do share a well-known mental model, are there subtleties within assemble relationships that range throughout the two corporations? In phrases of this second question, the authors have been fascinated, particularly, in attributional consequences associated with protection climate[4]. Preceding research has tested protection in large part from the personnel' experience or perspective. but, we may additionally benefit from a greater complete knowledge of place of work safety by evaluating managers' and people' perceptions concerning administrative center safety. If people and managers preserve unique perceptions approximately the intertwining causal elements that lead to dangerous behaviors and different causes of accidents, then the discrepancy can create a chasm between movements and the perceived need for movements.

II. DISCUSSION

Work-related stress can be caused by various events. For example, a person might feel under pressure if the demands of their job (such as hours or responsibilities) are greater than they can comfortably manage. Other sources of work-related stress include conflict with co-workers or bosses, constant change, and threats to job security, such as potential redundancy[5].

Symptoms of work-related Stress

The signs or symptoms of work-related stress can be physical, psychological and behavioral.

Physical symptoms include

- Fatigue
- Muscular tension
- Headaches
- Heart palpitations
- Sleeping difficulties, such as insomnia
- Gastrointestinal upsets, such as diarrhea or constipation
- Dermatological disorders.

Psychological symptoms include

- Depression
- Anxiety
- Discouragement
- Irritability
- Pessimism
- Feelings of being overwhelmed and unable to cope
- Cognitive difficulties, such as a reduced ability to concentrate or make decisions[6].

Behavioral Symptoms Include

- An increase in sick days or absenteeism
- Aggression
- Diminished creativity and initiative
- A drop in work performance
- Problems with interpersonal relationships
- Mood swings and irritability

- Lower tolerance of frustration and impatience
- Disinterest
- Isolation.

The Main Work-Related Stressors

All the following issues have been identified as potential stressors at workplaces. A risk management approach will identify which ones exist in your own workplace and what causes them[7]. They include:

- Organization culture
- Bad management practices
- Job content and demands
- Physical work environment
- Relationships at work
- Change management
- Lack of support
- Role conflict
- Trauma.

Causes of Work-Related Stress

Some of the factors that commonly because work-related stress includes[8]:

- Long hours
- Heavy workload
- Changes within the organization
- Tight deadlines
- Changes to duties
- Job insecurity

- Lack of autonomy
- Boring work
- Insufficient skills for the job
- Over-supervision
- Inadequate working environment
- Lack of proper resources
- Lack of equipment
- Few promotional opportunities
- Harassment
- Discrimination
- Poor relationships with colleagues or bosses
- Crisis incidents, such as an armed hold-up or workplace death.

Self-Help for the Individual

A person suffering from work-related stress can help themselves in a number of ways, including[9]:

- Think about the changes you need to make at work in order to reduce your stress levels and then take action. Some changes you can manage yourself, while others will need the cooperation of others.
- Talk over your concerns with your employer or human resources manager.
- Make sure you are well organized. List your tasks in order of priority. Schedule the most difficult tasks of each day for times when you are fresh, such as first thing in the morning.
- Take care of yourself. Eat a healthy diet and exercise regularly.
- Consider the benefits of regular relaxation. You could try meditation or yoga.
- Make sure you have enough free time to yourself every week.
- Don't take out your stress on loved ones. Instead, tell them about your work problems and ask for their support and suggestions.

- Drugs, such as alcohol and tobacco, won't alleviate stress and can cause additional health problems. Avoid excessive drinking and smoking.
- Seek professional counselling from a psychologist.
- If work-related stress continues to be a problem, despite your efforts, you may need to consider another job or a career change. Seek advice from a career counsellor or psychologist[10].

Benefits of preventing stress in the workplace

- Reduced symptoms of poor mental and physical health
- Fewer injuries, less illness and lost time
- Reduced sick leave usage, absences and staff turnover
- Increased productivity
- Greater job satisfaction
- Increased work engagement
- Reduced costs to the employer
- Improved employee health and community wellbeing.

Work-related stress is a management issue

It is important for employers to recognize work-related stress as a significant health and safety issue. A company can and should take steps to ensure that employees are not subjected to unnecessary stress, including:

- Ensure a safe working environment.
- Make sure that everyone is properly trained for their job.
- De-stigmatize work-related stress by openly recognizing it as a genuine problem.
- Discuss issues and grievances with employees, and take appropriate action when possible.
- Devise a stress management policy in consultation with the employees.

- Encourage an environment where employees have more say over their duties, promotional prospects and safety.
- Organize to have a human resources manager.
- Cut down on the need for overtime by reorganizing duties or employing extra staff.
- Take into account the personal lives of employees and recognize that the demands of home will sometimes clash with the demands of work.
- Seek advice from health professionals, if necessary.

III. CONCLUSION

Managers must be aware of these biases when diagnosing and responding to accidents. In spite of these differences, it appears that in a tightly coupled industrial setting, such as a steel plant, managers and employees may share causal mental models, perhaps out of necessity in keeping a complex system running effectively. Managers in more decoupled systems may wish to use tightly coupled and necessarily high-reliability systems as benchmarks when they try to increase agreement and mental model sharing across organizational levels. When organizations improve safety climate, they can move employees and managers closer together in their perspectives about safety responsibility. Given the importance of attributions about responsibility in shaping post-accident response, an organization and its employees can benefit from such a convergence in perspectives. The survey items included in this study provide research insights, but they also may be useful to those who wish to conduct safety self-assessments within their organizations. For example, a manager may wish to measure safety climate and/or perceived safety hazards before and after a major safety improvement initiative

IV. REFERENCES

- [1] G. Calogiuri et al., "Green exercise as a workplace intervention to reduce job stress. Results from a pilot study," *Work*, 2016, doi: 10.3233/WOR-152219.
- [2] A. Gayed et al., "A protocol for the HeadCoach trial: The development and evaluation of an online mental health training program for workplace managers," *BMC Psychiatry*, 2018, doi: 10.1186/s12888-018-1603-4.
- [3] S. E. Hanisch, C. D. Twomey, A. C. H. Szeto, U. W. Birner, D. Nowak, and C. Sabariego, "The effectiveness of interventions targeting the stigma of mental illness at the workplace: A systematic review," *BMC Psychiatry*, 2016, doi: 10.1186/s12888-015-0706-4.
- [4] S. H. Van Oostrom, J. R. Anema, B. Terluin, H. C. W. De Vet, D. L. Knol, and W. Van Mechelen, "Cost-effectiveness of a workplace intervention for sick-listed employees with common mental disorders: Design of a randomized controlled trial," *BMC Public Health*, 2008, doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-8-12.

-
- [5] M. Bedarkar and D. Pandita, “A Study on the Drivers of Employee Engagement Impacting Employee Performance,” *Procedia - Soc. Behav. Sci.*, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.04.174.
- [6] S. Lim, L. M. Cortina, and V. J. Magley, “Personal and Workgroup Incivility: Impact on Work and Health Outcomes,” *J. Appl. Psychol.*, 2008, doi: 10.1037/0021-9010.93.1.95.
- [7] T. W. Colligan and E. M. Higgins, “Workplace stress: Etiology and consequences,” *J. Workplace Behav. Health*, 2006, doi: 10.1300/J490v21n02_07.
- [8] P. Chopra, “Mental health and the workplace: Issues for developing countries,” *International Journal of Mental Health Systems*. 2009, doi: 10.1186/1752-4458-3-4.
- [9] K. B. Follmer and K. S. Jones, “Mental Illness in the Workplace: An Interdisciplinary Review and Organizational Research Agenda,” *Journal of Management*. 2018, doi: 10.1177/0149206317741194.
- [10] A. Altaf and M. A. Awan, “Moderating Affect of Workplace Spirituality on the Relationship of Job Overload and Job Satisfaction,” *J. Bus. Ethics*, 2011, doi: 10.1007/s10551-011-0891-0.